RECEIVED

OCT 0 4 1999

	14	Thank you. My name is Jamieson Walker as you
	15	already heard. In this hat, I'm representing the Nye County
	16	Department of Natural Resources and Federal Facilities.
	17	The purpose of the DEIS is to identify and
	18	evaluate potential impacts that will likely occur when DOE
	19	begins the construction, operation and closure of the proposed
	20	repository at Yucca Mountain.
l	21	Nye County finds that the DEIS presents a
	22	perspective of a single federal agency in its analysis of the
	23	potential impacts.
	24	Unfortunately the DEIS does not incorporate the
	25	data, methods, viewpoints and analyses developed by the host
		ATLAS REPORTING SERVICES LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

(888) 4-ATLAS-1

1 cont.	1	county, Nye County.
	2	Because of this narrow agency viewpoint, the DEIS
	3	does not realistically define the affected environment and
	4	appropriate regions of influence for study.
	5	It ignores data, information and analysis
11	6	collected and prepared by the County and fails to identify
	7	other federal actions and policies affecting Nye County in the
	8	analysis of cumulative impacts.
2	9	As a result the DOE's analysis does not
	10	accurately portray how this action could potentially impact the
	11	residents of Nye County, the citizens most directly affected
	12	and subject to both the short-term consequences and the
	13	long-term risks associated with the repository.
3	14	Thus Nye County believes that the DEIS is
	15	inadequate and requests that a second Draft EIS that addresses
	16	these these inadequacies be prepared and released for public
	17	review and comment.
4	18	The regions of influence or study areas selected
	19	for this EIS reflect the narrow federal viewpoint of this DEIS.
	20	There is an inherent policy statement in the DEIS that DOE
	21	believes that federal land ownership of the majority of the
	22	area abrogates their responsibility to evaluate impacts to
	23	non-federal entities.
	24	For example, the analysis of land use impacts is
	25	limited to DOE's proposed land withdrawals and its federal
		ATLAS REPORTING SERVICES

ATLAS REPORTING SERVICES
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
(888) 4-ATLAS-1

4 cont.	1	neighbors. It doesn't even consider impacts to the Town of
	2	Amargosa Valley, which it overlaps.
	3	In essence, using the selected study areas, DOE
	4	has limited its evaluation of impacts to federally managed
	5	lands and has taken the position that any unquantified impacts
	6	to local communities would be absorbed.
5	7	Further use of these regions of influence in
	8	analysis minimizes the potential impacts to Nye County, its
	9	residents and the availability of resources.
	10	Land use, water resources and demographic
	11	baseline data are examples of resource areas where
	12	inappropriate regions of influence and baseline data are used.
	13	The result is an EIS that does not accurately
	14	evaluate and quantify the related effects and risks that rely
	15	upon population and related demographic data.
6	16	NEPA affords local governments the opportunity to
	17	identify the potential impacts as they affect the local
	18	government, especially when an action is site specific as in
	19	the Yucca Mountain repository action.
	20	NEPA also allows and the President's Council on
	21	Environmental Quality recommends that local governments be
	22	closely involved in the NEPA process even as cooperating
	23	agencies.
7	24	Just as the DEIS acknowledges views of Native
	25	American tribes in the region, the EIS should acknowledge the
		Z.

ATLAS REPORTING SERVICES
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
(888) 4-ATLAS-1

10

7 cont.	1	views of Nye County.
	2	The viewpoint, analysis and mitigation measures
	3	provided by the County are referenced but not incorporated and
	4	have not been fully accounted for in the proposed action.
8	5	The DOE identifies opposing technical viewpoints
	6	in its DEIS. DOE identifies Nye County's perspective as a
	7	local viewpoint rather than an opposing technical viewpoint.
	8	While Nye County is in agreement on some
	9	technical issues where our analyses yielded different
	10	conclusions, our results must be identified in the Final EIS as
	11	opposing technical viewpoints.
9	12	DOE in the Yucca Mountain project DEIS fails to
	13	identify several of the indirect, direct cumulative and
	14	indirect cumulative impacts in the locale of proposed action as
	15	required by NEPA, and thus is flawed.
	16	The EIS fails to include impacts of past and
	17	present actions and policies as well as reasonably foreseeable
	18	actions identified in other federal, state and local documents.
10	19	In this regard, federal agencies, including the
	20	DOE Nevada, the United US Forest Service, the Nevada
	21	National Park Service, US Air Force, US Navy, BLM, Bureau of
	22	Indian Affairs and US Fish & Wildlife Service have repeatedly
	23	failed to fulfill their obligations under NEPA by refusing to
	24	acknowledge such impacts in their NEPA reviews and provide
	25	mitigation measures that are appropriate.

ATLAS REPORTING SERVICES
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
(888) 4-ATLAS-1

284 4

10 cont.	1	Nye County's analyses and evaluations identified
	2	a range of direct and in direct cumulative impacts. Examples
	3	in the transportation, land use, water resources and lost
	4	economic opportunity and others.
	5	The County believes that these impacts, although
	6	adverse and significant, can be mitigated through various
	7	measures.
	8	With the cessation of nuclear weapons testing in
	9	1992, Nye County has made substantial efforts to plan for its
	10	economic future in the US 95 corridor.
	11	The DEIS does not recognize these plans and does
	12	not reflect a DOE obligation to ensure that the Yucca Mountain
	13	project will not thwart those plans.
	14	Nye County by virtue of its location,
	15	characteristics and overwhelming federal presence has been
	16	disproportionately impacted by past, present and continuing
	17	federal action.
	18	Nye County must receive just equity offsets,
	19	mitigation and compensation from the United States to mitigate
	20	cumulative effects of these past and present actions in the
	21	proposed repository in the proposed repository, should it go
	22	forward.
	23	Nye County will continue to identify
	24	environmental and human health and safety issues, the potential
	25	impacts and appropriate mitigation measures and will ensure

ATLAS REPORTING SERVICES
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
(888) 4-ATLAS-1



- 1 that the County's position is made part of the DOE's
- 2 administrative record for the NEPA process.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 MR. LAWSON: Thank you.
- 5 MS. BOOTH: Thank you.
- 6 MR. LAWSON: Our next speaker is Marsha
- 7 Hoffman, to be followed by Slim Sirnes -- I think I pronounced
- 8 that correctly -- and then Mr. Walker again.

